PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	0 8	JUL	2005

WIPO PO

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER AC	TION See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. International filing date PCT/EP2004/005274 17.05.2004		Priority date (day/month/year) 04.06.2003		
International Patent Classification	(IPC) or national classification and IP	<u> </u>		
C11D17/08, C11D9/00, C11	D9/26, C11D10/04			
. **				
Applicant UNILEVER PLC		e de la companya de l		
This report is the internal Authority under Article 38	ional preliminary examination rep and transmitted to the applicant	oort, established by this International Preliminary Examinia according to Article 36.	ng	
		cover sheet.		
· · · · · ·	panied by ANNEXES, comprising	•		
		u) a total of sheets, as follows:		
and/or sheets	description, claims and/or drawin containing rectifications authoriz e Instructions).	gs which have been amended and are the basis of this re ed by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the	port ne	
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.				
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).				
4. This report contains indic	cations relating to the following ite	ems:		
☑ Box No. I Basis	of the opinion			
☐ Box No. II Priority	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
☐ Box No. III Non-es	<u> </u>			
☐ Box No. IV Lack o	f unity of invention			
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application				
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Date of submission of the demand	1	Date of completion of this report	•	
		·		
3.12.2004		07.07.2005		
Name and mailing address of the preliminary examining authority:	international	Authorized Officer	men.	
European Patent O	ffice	Pentek, E		
O)) Tel. +49 89 2399 -	0 Tx: 523656 epmu d			
Fax: +49 89 2399 -	4400	Telephone No. +49 89 2399-		



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/005274

	Box	No. I Basis of the report					
1.	With filed	th regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was					
		This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ☐ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ☐ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ☐ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)					
2.	hav	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets whi</i> have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):					
	Des	cription, Pages					
	1-14	as originally filed					
		as originally filed					
Claims, Numbers		ms, Numbers					
1-10 as originally		and the second s					
•							
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing					
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
٠.	_	☐ the description, pages					
		☐ the claims, Nos.					
		the drawings, sheets/figs					
		☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
		and the contract of the contract was and the contract of the c					
4.	□ had Sup	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below it not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the oplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).					
		☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos.					
		☐ the drawings, sheets/figs					
		☐ the sequence listing (specify):					
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
	*	If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."					



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/005274

, pas

1.73

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement

Novelty (N)	 Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10	:
Inventive step (IS)	 	Claims Claims	1-10	• ••
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10	· :*

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/005274

Re Item V.

1. The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: US 4 310 432 A (BROUWER HENDRIK W) 12 January 1982

D2: GB 348 689 A (HENKEL GMBH) 14 May 1931

2. None of the documents cited in the search report discloses a soap composition comprising humectants and 0.05 to 4% by weight of castor oil soap and/or salt of ricinoleic acid.

Therefore, the claimed subject-matter is novel over said prior art.

3. The present application shows that the inclusion of small amounts of castor oil soap provides for the cleaning compositions with excellent transparency also at a low temperature.

This effect cannot obviously be retrieved from the documents cited in the search report. They do not teach the importance of using small amounts of castor oil soap or salt of ricinoleic acid for giving high transparency to liquid soap compositions. D1 teaches that soap derived from castor oil and ricinoleic acid can be used but these soap ingredients remain optional and in the examples (examples IX and X) soap of castor oil is used in relative large amounts (15.75 to 33.75% by weight). Also in D2 there is no hint that the transparency of the liquid soap may be improved with the use of castor oil soap. Furthermore, the sole example in D2 containing castor oil soap or salt of ricinoleic acid is concerned with a soap composition comprising more than 25% of soap (30%).

Therefore, the claimed subject-matter also involves an inventive step.